EXHIBIT G

		Page 22	?		Page	24
1	nomination paper is an affirmation		1	valid. But there are things that		
2	versus the affidavit on the nomination		2	we've seen over the years and we		
3	petition. So it includes an area		3	usually mention it to the filer just		
_T 4	where the official who's authorized to		4	to let them know.		
5	acknowledge would complete the form.		5	BY ATTORNEY ROSSI:		
6	I'm not sure what you're		6	Q. So what is the process when		
7	Differences in the language, so		7	somebody files a nominating paper?		
8	ATTORNEY JOEL:		8	What is the process that your office		
9	Do you want him to read		9	goes through in accepting that paper?		
10	both, both sets of languages in		10	A. Basically we do a line-by-line		
11	it?		11	we make sure all the required		
12	ATTORNEY ROSSI:		12	information on each page is completed		
13	No, it's going to be in		13	about the candidates. You know, make		
14	the record, that's fine. I		14	sure that the candidate has identified		
15	just want to get to one final		15	the office on each page that he or she		
16	question on that.		16	is seeking.		
17	BY ATTORNEY ROSSI:		17	We also ensure that the		
18	Q. Is the affirmation or the		18	affirmation or the statement of		
19	statement of the circulator, is that		19	circulator or the affidavit of		
20	executed under a penalty of perjury?		20	circulator is completed. And then we		
21	A. I believe it is and I believe		21	look at each individual signature line		
22 23	it says so here. Specifically it		22	to ensure that all of the information		
24	states that I state that the		23	is completed, that there's a name, a		
25	information set forth in this section		24	signature, an address and a date of		
23	is true and accurate and made subject		25	signing on each line.		
1		Page 23			Page	25
1	to the criminal penalties imposed by		1	Q. And who actually does that for		
_T 2	law for violation of 18 Pa.C.S.		2	your office?		
3	Section 4904 relating to unsworn		3	A. It would be Bureau staff we		
4	falsification to authorities.		4	have. We do a lot of things in		
5	Q. During 2016, did you have any		5	addition to elections, so at		
6	strike that.		6	nomination petition, nomination paper		
7	In 2016, did any allegation of		7	filing time we have our election		9
8 9	fraud in circulation of nominating		8	staff, as well as folks from other		
	papers come to your attention?		9	areas in our Bureau reviewing the		
10 11	ATTORNEY JOEL:		10	nomination petitions and papers.		
12	Object to the form. Go ahead.		11	Q. So you have specific staff		
13			12	dedicated to reviewing election		
14	A. I don't know that any allegations of		13	petitions, both petitions and papers,		
15	fraud came to our attention.		14	when they're filed with your office?		ĺ
16	Typically, in the process of reviewing		15	A. Correct. The nomination		
17	nomination petitions and nomination		16 17	petitions we actually it's pretty		
18	papers we may see something, for		18	much everyone. Because we get		
19	example, somebody it appears filled	- 1	18 19	this year we had about, you know, I		
20	out several signature lines in the	- 1	20	guess 1,100 candidates, most of which		
21	same hand. Other things and we'll		21	filed on the last two days. So it is		
22	mention it to the candidate. We're		22	folks from our own Bureau and even a few volunteers for nomination		
23	looking at the we're assuming		23			
24	everything on the nomination petitions		24	petitions. Nomination papers, it's our Bureau staff for the most part.		
25	and nomination papers is facially		25	Q. So more petitions are filed		100

	Case 1.10-CV-00074-1K-WIA	Docume	JIIL 4	7 0 1 lieu 12/22/10 1 age 3 01 0	
		Page 26	5		Page 2
1	than papers?		7	1-11	
2	A. Correct. Yes.		1 2	looking for instances of fraud, with	
3	Q. In 2016 strike that.		3	respect to nominating petitions or	
4	In 2016, more nominating		E :	papers?	
5	petitions were filed than papers?		4	A. Right. There's all kinds of	
6	A. Correct.		5	fraud, what they are trained to do is	
7			6	notify the candidate. If it appears	
8	Q. Okay. So when nominating		7	that somebody may have written a	
9	papers are filed, if there is a		8	number of signature lines in the same	
10	problem, are your staff members		9	hand and there's case law on this	
11	instructed to alert you to the issue?		10	matter. Sometimes it's okay. I	
12	A. Yes. They would actually alert		11	believe the courts ruled in cases	
13	the supervisor of admissions of		12	where the individuals who are signing	
14	elections of voter registration. I		13	it are disabled and they cannot write	
15	guess it depends on what the problem		14	out their information, that would be	
16	is. If there's a problem with, you		15	appropriate.	
17	know, an individual page or a problem		16	But it would not be appropriate	
	with the nomination paper as a whole.		17	for somebody to just simply fill it	
18	Q. And in 2016, were you called in		18	out for each person. So they're not	
19	to review any of the nominating papers	¥	19	trained to detect fraud, they are	
20	that were filed?		20	trained to notify the filer of	
21	A. I was not. Actually I did not		21	anomalies that they see in their	
22	review any of them myself.		22	nomination papers or nomination	
23	Q. So if one of your staff members		23	petitions.	
24	detected what they believe would've		24	Q. Were any objections filed to	
25	been a fraudulent statement of		25	nominating papers filed with your	
		Page 27			Page 29
1	circulator strike that.		1	office in 2016?	
2	Is it your instructions do		2	A. Yes.	
3	you have any instructions to your		3	ATTORNEY JOEL:	
4	staff that if they detect any kind of		4	Object to the form. I	
5	fraud, any affidavit or a circulator		5	don't think the objections are	
6	statement that you'd be made alert		6	filed with his office.	
7	aware of that problem?		7	ATTORNEY ROSSI:	
8	ATTORNEY JOEL:		8	I'm sorry, what?	
9	Just object to the form.		9	ATTORNEY JOEL:	
10	A. And our staff is not trained to		10	I don't think the	
11	detect fraud. I tried to make the		11	objections are filed with his	
12	distinction, and maybe I didn't do a		12	office. I think they're filed	
13	good job. We don't assume anything as		13	in court. You said objections	
14	fraud and we're not necessarily	- 18	14	filed with his office.	
15	our staff is not equipped to make a		15	ATTORNEY ROSSI:	
16	determination there. But if we see		16	Okay. Fair enough,	
17	you know, and typically what we'll		17	correct. Strike that.	
18	see is it looks like the same person	1	18	BY ATTORNEY ROSSI:	
19	has the same handwriting. We will		19	Q. To your knowledge, were any	
20	note of that to the candidate, because	1	20	objections filed to any nominating	
21	it may be relevant to the candidate in		21	papers that were filed with your	
22	the coming days. But we do not train		22	office?	
23	them to detect fraud.		23	A. I believe there were a handful,	
24	BY ATTORNEY ROSSI:	8	24	yes.	
25	Q. So your staff members are not		25	Q. To your knowledge, were these	
-				Q. 10 your knowledge, were these	

^{8 (}Pages 26 to 29)

	Page 30			Page 32
1 2	objections filed to papers for	1	and there's not time to get to the	
	president?	2	circulator of the nomination petition	
3	A. I believe actually, no. I	3	page, we would simply not count the	
T 4	think it was Attorney General as I	4	signatures on that page. If they were	
5	recall.	5	filing it two days before the deadline	
6	Q. Okay. To your knowledge, were	6	or ever two hours and they have time	
7	there any allegations of fraud on that	7	to get to the circulator, then we	
8	case?	8	would give it back to them and let	
9	A. To my knowledge, no.	9	them correct the defect and bring it	
10	Q. Referring to Plaintiff's	10	back before the filing deadline.	
11	Exhibit Number 1, when nominating	11	Q. Thank you.	
12	papers are filed with your office,	12	A. So it's a really a situational	
13	what are your staff members instructed	13	decision.	
14	? How are your staff members	14	Q. But if the affidavit is not	
15	instructed to deal with a statement of	15	properly executed, the signatures	
16	circulator that is not fully executed?	16	don't count?	
17	A. It would depend on the	17	A. Right. We would not count the	
18	circumstance. Obviously, the page	18	signatures and we would instruct the	
19	cannot be accepted. If it's on the	19	candidates If for whatever	
20	filing deadline, we would not count	20	reason that we had to reject the	
21	the signatures on that page. If	21	nomination petition or nomination	
22	there's time for the candidate to	22	paper for that basis, we would	
23	correct the defect, in other words	23	instruct them to go to the	
24	take If it's simply blank,	24	Commonwealth court, file a mandamus	
25	whoever circulated the nomination	25	action seeking leave to make the	
	Page 31			Page 33
1	paper page could still sign it. And	1	amendment after the deadline.	7
T 2	we'll accept it up to the deadline.	2	Q. Did that occur in to your	
3	Q. Okay.	3	knowledge, did that occur in 2016,	
4	A. So it really depends on the	4	with respect to nominating petitions?	
5	time, you know, if it's on the	5	A. I believe it did occur in a few	
6	deadline and there's not time to get	6	cases with nomination petitions.	
7	to the circulator and have them sign	7	Q. So because the affidavit was	
8	it we would just simply not count the	8	not executed, the? Is it your	*1
9	signatures in our tally.	9	testimony that in 2016 there were	
10	Q. And with respect to Plaintiff's	10	candidates who filed nominating	
11	Exhibit 2, the nominating petition, if	11	petitions that were not accepted	
12	the affidavit is strike that.	12	initially accepted because the	
13	With respect to the affidavit	13	affidavit was not properly executed?	
14	of circulator on a nominating	14	A. I want to be clear. I do	
15	petition, when they are filed with	15	recall this is a two-sided form.	
16 17	your office, does your staff review	16	There were nomination petitions. I	
18	that for completeness?	17	clearly recollected there were	
19	A. They do, yes.	18	nomination petition pages, where the	
20	Q. And if an affidavit of	19	front side and the back side were not	
21	circulator's not completed, completely executed, what does your	20	attached. The affidavit itself may	
22	staff do with that paper?	21	have been completed, but they were not	
23	A. Well, the same rule would apply	23	submitted as a one-page form. So they	
24	as with the nomination paper. It	24	were rejected and candidates went into	
25	would depend if it's on the deadline	25	court requesting leave to amend those	
		140	nomination petitions. There were	

	Case 1.10-CV-00074-1K-VVIA	Ducui	mei	11 47-0	5 Filed 12/22/10 Fage 5 01 0	
11.000	N Mario	Page	34			Page 36
1	probably I can't say for sure,			1	Q. And do they review the	
2	there were probably some circumstances		***************************************	2	signatures in any manner, before	
3	where there may have also been other		APPOINT NAME OF THE PARTY NAME	3	they're filed?	
4	defects in the affidavit. You know,			4	A. They review them for	
5	it's a fairly common occurrence during			5		
6	filing that you'll have a handful of		1	6	completeness. They make sure that the	
7	those.			7	signature of the elector, the printed	
8	Q. Did the defects in the			8	name of the voter is there as well as	
9	affidavit of circulator for nominating		***************************************	9	the address and the date of signing.	
10	petitions in 2016 prevent a candidate				So they don't do any review beyond	
11	from being able to file enough			10	making sure all of the information is	
12	signatures to get onto the ballot?			11 12	there. And they count up to the	
13	A. I don't recall that that was				threshold, whatever the statutory	
14	the case, no.			13	signature threshold is, they would	
15	Q. So when you're saying that		- 1	14	count up to that number to ensure that	
16	petition pages were rejected because			1.5	the candidate has enough signatures on	
17	of a defective affidavit, you're			16	the nomination	
18	referring to individual name and			17	Q. So your staff does a running	
19	referring to individual pages and not the entire petition?		- 4	18	total of facially valid signatures up	
20	A If there are enough record		1	L9	to the total that they're required to	
21	A. If there are enough pages,			20	file?	
22	enough signatures on those pages that		13.5	21	A. Correct, yes.	
23	you have to reject the entire		1	22	Q. And once you've reached that	
24	petition. Because again, we're not			23	number, do you continue to review any	
25	counting the signatures on those pages that are not correctly executed. So		750	24	further petition pages?	
20	that are not correctly executed. So			2.5	A. We do not, we accept them at	
		Page 3	35			Page 37
1	there were candidates whose nomination			1	that moment.	
2	petitions were rejected. And they			2	Q. So if an individual page is	
3	went in to Commonwealth Court,		1	3	defective in any way, those signatures	ĵ
4	requesting leave to amend the and		***************************************	4	are not counted, they're set aside?	
5	they made those amendments and had			5	A. They are if we accept the	
6	their names placed on the ballot.			6	nomination petition, they're not set	
7	Q. And circle back, when a			7	aside. We typically move them in	
8	candidate files a nominating petition,			8	practice we move them to the back of	
9	what are the tasks that your staff				the nomination petition, you know.	
10	goes through before they accept the		1		But if the nomination petition or	
11	filing?				nomination paper as a whole is	
12	A. It's very similar to nomination		1		accepted we will take everything,	
13	papers. They are looking at the		1		including those pages that may not	
14	preamble on the front and making sure		1		have been executed completely.	
15	that all the candidate information,		1		Q. But for purposes of your	
16	the office, all of that is completed.		1		initial review to determine whether or	
17	They're also verifying that the		1		not a petition has sufficient	
18	candidate affidavit or the		1		signatures, a defective petition page,	
19	affidavit of circulator excuse me,		1	9 1	those signatures are not counted?	
20	is executed and completed by the		2		A. Correct.	
21	circulator. And then they're doing		2		Q. Okay.	
22	the same individual line examination,		2		A. Yes.	
23	to ensure that each line has all of		2.		Q. But then if other papers	
24	the required elements completed for		2		contain enough signatures, the	
25	the signer.		2.	. 35	defective pages are added to the back	The state of the s
2010/05/02				3	account pages are added to the back	E E

^{10 (}Pages 34 to 37)

	Case 1:10-cv-00074-11K-WIA					
		Page 78	3		Page	80
1	does the statement of circulator on a		1	A. I'm not aware of any in 2016.		
2	paper provide you the same		2	Q. And that's for petitions or		
3	information?		3	papers?		
_ 4	A. It does. For us as the		4	A. Correct, yes.		
5	administrator it gives us the		5			
6	information we need, the name and		6	Q. And do you with respect to election petition fraud, issues that		
7	address of the person who circulated		7	have some to your offer de		
8	the page.		8	have come to your attention, do you		
9	Q. Does your office investigate		9	have any are you able to testify		
10	strike that.		10	as to your memory as to what those issues involved?		
11	Does your office involve		11			
12	strike that.		12	A. I think, you know, there were a few cases that come to mind because		
13	Voter registration fraud, do		13			
14	you have any area of responsibilities		14	they were actually legislators,		
15	strike that.		15	incumbent legislators who were prosecuted. Or at least individuals		
16	Have you been made aware of any		16	who worked for their campaign were		
17	allegations of voter registration		17	prosecuted. A lot of it was on the		
18	fraud during your tenure?		18	validity of signatures. I think in		- 1
19	A. Ever?		19	two different incidences, individuals		
20	Q. During your tenure.		20	purportedly signed a nomination		
21	A. Yes, we have been made aware of		21	petition and then that testified under		
22	prosecutions over voter registration		22	oath that they actually did not sign		
23	fraud over the years.		23	the nomination petition.		
24	Q. Have you ever testified in any		24	Q. And to your knowledge, were		
25	of those cases?		25	these prosecutions for petitions or		
		Page 79		prosecutions for petitions of	Page	81
1	A. I have not, I have not		1	for nanawa?		
_ 2	testified in any criminal cases. I		2	for papers? A. I believe they were for the		
3	did testify in a civil case in		3	ones that I recollect were for		
4	Commonwealth Court several years ago		4	nomination petitions, specifically.		
5	where ACORN was a named defendant, I		5	Q. Okay. Do you know how many		
6	believe that was 2008.		6	registered voters there are in		
7	Q. Okay. How are you made aware		7	Pennsylvania?		
8	of prosecutions of voter registration		8	A. Currently it's about 8.6		
9	fraud?		9	million.		
10	A. There's no official process,		10	Q. Do you know the breakdown by		TANK TANK
11	district attorneys are not required to		11	major political party?		SALES OF SALES
12	submit any information to us. But we		12	A. Oh, gosh, no. I haven't		april de la constante
13	will hear from election directors if		13	looked. The number has actually gone		and Action
14	someone was prosecuted within their		14	up by several hundred thousand just in		or constant
15	county, so		15	the last several weeks. So it's a		date in the
16	Q. Are you aware of any		16	moving target.		ALC: NO.
17	prosecutions of election petition		17	Q. Do you know what percentage of		MONEGO
18	fraud?		18	voters are registered with the major		Wildensta
19	A. Yes, over the years there have		19	political parties?		A PARTICIPATION
20	been a number of those either		20	A. I believe between the two of		
21	prosecuted by the Attorney General's		21	them, probably I would say about 80		STATE OF THE PERSON NAMED IN
22	office or district attorneys	*	22	percent, if not more, are registered		September 1
23	throughout the Commonwealth.		23	with major parties.		CHARGO
24 25	Q. Have any prosecutions come to		24	Q. Either Republicans or		Sales Sales
152	your awareness for 2016?		25	Democrats?		6